

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/831,824	(09/27/2001	Leslie Graf	027566-030	9537
27045	7590	04/05/2005		EXAMINER	
ERICSSO		_	NGUYEN, BINH QUOC		
6300 LEG M/S EVR	ACY DRIV C11	E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PLANO,	TX 75024			2664	
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/ ቘ
UN.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/831,824	GRAF ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Binh Q. Nguyen	2664					
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Se	eptember 2001.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the option of the specific part o	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/27/2001.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/831,824

Art Unit: 2664

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- a) Claim 1: Term "ISUP" in line 2 is improper because it's not spelled out completely for at least one time.

Examiner suggests changing this term to "Integrated Services Digital Network User Part (ISUP)".

b) Claim 3: Term "the subscriber parties" in lines 2-3 is improper because there is no antecedent basis.

Examiner suggests changing this term to "a subscriber parties".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "said connection" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,824 Page 3

Art Unit: 2664

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *MacMillan et al* (US Patent No. 6,278,707) in view of *Sayers et al* (US Patent No. 6,539,237) as applied to claim 1-5 above, and further in view of *International Telecommunication Union Q.737(3/93)*.

a) Regarding to Claim 1, 2, and 5: *MacMillan et al* discloses a method and apparatus for communicating signalling data between a pair of telecommunication switches (*see Fig. 7*) employing ISUP signaling, via a packet switched data network, the method comprising (see Fig. 4 and Fig.7) means for using H.323 protocol to communicate over the data network where

signalling data is carried by a Q.931 based protocol (see col.7, lines 33-46, col.8 lines 59-67).

MacMillan et al fails to explicitly disclose the ISUP Network Discard Indicator message or the extended Q.931 being applied to H.323 protocol.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,824

Art Unit: 2664

However, *International Telecommunication Union* publication explicitly discloses such a discard message (see Section 1.1.5.2.5.2.3, lines 1-4).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement such H.323 protocol to communicate over the data network where signalling data is carried by a Q.931 based protocol and the ISUP Network Discard Indicator message, as taught by *MacMillan*, modified by the *International Telecommunication Union* publication since *MacMillan* suggests extending Q.931 beyond the standard to met the need of H.323 including continuity testing and management messaging (see. col.8 lines 59-67).

- b) Regarding claims 3 and: MacMillan et al discloses IP, Telephony, hence part or all of the connection utilizes a TCP/IP network (see col. 3 lines 18-28, and col. 7 lines 32-46).
- c) Respect claim 4, MacMillan et al use of H.323 over the Internet and hence the use of TCP/IP protocol stack (see col. 7 lines 32-46).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-8563. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 571-272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,824 Page 5

Art Unit: 2664

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully submitted,

Binh Q. Nguyen Patent Examiner 04/01/2005

ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED